17 18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

NEGER HEKMAT ALAMI SHAHROKH ALAMI 536 MATTERHORN DR WALNUT CREEK, CALFORNIA 94598



#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NEGER HEKMAT ALAMI SHAHROKH ALAMI

**PLAINTIFFS** 

Vs.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,

COUNTY OF CONTRA, SAXON

MORTGAGE, QUALITY LOAN

SERVICE CORP,

**DEFENDANTS** 

**DOES 1-20**.

CX<sub>SE</sub> #**08** 

0893

NOTICE OF PETITION AND

VERIFIED PETITION FOR

WARRANT OF REMOVAL BY

THREE-JUDGE PANEL: 28 U.S.C. □

1441(a), COMPLAINT FOR

**DAMAGES** 

WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE,

CONVERSION, QUIET TITLE TO

REAL PROPERTY;

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

22 U.S.C. § 282f

Plaintiff complains and for causes of action alleges as follows:

**GENERAL ALLEGATIONS** 

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT TO RECOVER POSSESSION OF REAL PROPERTY

I

Filed 02/11/2008

2 3

1

4 5

> 6 7

9 10

8

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

At all times herein relevant, Plaintiff was a resident of the State of California.

II

Defendant SAXON MORTGAGE is, and at all times herein mentioned was a Foreign Corporation based either in the State of Virginia or in the State of Texas, and as such, not a California based corporation. Defendant Quality Loan Services is not a California Corporation, Plaintiff will amend the complaint once the corporate identity of Quality Loan Services is ascertained.

III

The Federal District Court has jurisdiction because, For the purpose of any action which may be brought within the United States, its Territories or possessions, or the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico by or against the Bank in accordance with the agreement, the Bank shall be deemed to be an inhabitant of the Federal judicial district in which its principal office in the United States is located, and any such action at law or in equity to which the Bank shall be a party shall be deemed to arise under the laws of the United States, and the district courts of the United States shall have original jurisdiction of any such action. When the Bank is a defendant in any such action, it may, at any time before

 the trial thereof, remove such action from a State court into the district court of the United States for the proper district by following the procedure for removal of causes otherwise provided by law.

IV

Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of defendants sued herein as DOES I through X, inclusive, and therefore sue these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained.

 $\mathbf{v}$ 

Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at all times herein mentioned, each of the defendants sued herein was the agent and employee of each of the remaining defendants and was at all times acting within the purpose and scope of such agency and employment.

VI

Plaintiff is and at all times herein mentioned the owner and/or entitled to possession of the property located at 536 MATTERHORN DR, WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94598.

VII

Plaintiff is informed and believe and thereupon allege that each of them, claim an interest in the property adverse to plaintiff herein.

However, the claim of said Defendants is without any right whatsoever, and said Defendant has no legal or equitable right, claim, or interest in said property.

#### VIII

Plaintiff therefore seek a declaration that the title to the subject property is vested in plaintiff alone and that the defendant herein, and each of them, be declared to have no estate, right, title or interest in the subject property and that said defendants and each of them, be forever enjoined from asserting any estate, right, title or interest in the subject property adverse to plaintiff herein.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

### <u>CONVERSION</u> AS TO SAXON MORTGAGE AND QUALITY LOAN SERVICES ONLY

IX

As a second and apart cause of action, Plaintiff realleges the above cause of action and incorporates same as if fully set-out herein.

 $\mathbf{X}$ 

On 7 November 07, Defendants SAXON AND QUALTITY served a Notice of Trustee's sale on Plaintiff. This is subsequent to Saxon having

į

begun foreclosure proceedings against Plaintiff. At all times herein relevant,
Petitioner requested that Saxon provide a full accounting on all payments
made regarding his home loan with Saxon.

Saxon willfully and deliberately failed to provide an accurate accounting re the loan, however, Saxon proceeding with the Foreclosure.

XI

At all times herein relevant, neither Saxon nor Quality where the owner of the mortgage on Plaintiff's residence and as such, did not have the capacity to foreclose on the Plaintiff's residence, on or about 3 December 07, both Saxon and Quality did enter into an agreement to convert Plaintiff property to themselves, and sold same to a third party.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST SAXON MORTGAGE AND QUALITY LOAN SERVICES

### WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE

XII

As a third and apart cause of action, Plaintiff realleges the above causes of action and incorporates same as if fully set-out herein.

### XIII

On 7 November 07, Defendants SAXON AND QUALTITY served a Notice of Trustee's sale on Plaintiff. At the time of the services of the notice of Trustee's sale of the Plaintiff's residence, neither party had the capacity to initiate that action because, Saxon as a mortgage servicing company or Quality owned the mortgage on Plaintiff's residences and as such, did not have the capacity or legal right to initiate foreclosure proceedings against This is subsequent to Saxon having begun foreclosure proceedings against Plaintiff's residence.

### XIV

On or about 3 December 07, both Saxon and Quality did wrongfully foreclosed on the Plaintiff's residence, sold same to a third party, and that third party has now commenced proceedings in the Superior Court of the State of California, more specifically, the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Contra Costa, to evict the Plaintiff from his residence.

### XV

Plaintiff hereby maintains that because neither Saxon nor Quality had the right to sell his residence and as such, that the Superior Court of

California does not have jurisdiction to entertain an Unlawful Detainer action against him.

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST SAXON MORTGAGE AND QUALITY LOAN SERVICES

### COMPLAINT TO QUIET TITLE TO REAL PROPERTY

### XV

As a fourth and apart cause of action, Plaintiff realleges the above causes of action and incorporates same as if fully set-out herein.

### XVI

On or about 3 December 07, both Saxon and Quality did wrongfully foreclosed on the Plaintiff's residence, sold same to a third party, and that third party has now commenced proceedings in the Superior Court of the State of California, more specifically, the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Contra Costa, to evict the Plaintiff from his residence.

///

///

**∓**¹

### XVII

Page 8 of 11

Plaintiff is and at all times herein mentioned the owner and/or entitled to possession of the property located at 536 MATTERHORN DR WALNUT CREEK, CALFORNIA 94598

### XVIII

Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants and each of them claim an interest in the property adverse to plaintiff herein. However, the claim of said Defendants is without any right whatsoever, and said Defendants have no legal or equitable right, claim, or interest in said property.

### XIX

Plaintiff therefore seek a declaration that the title to the subject property is vested in plaintiff alone and that the defendants herein, and each of them, be declared to have no estate, right, title or interest in the subject property and that said defendants and each of them, be forever enjoined from asserting any estate, right, title or interest in the subject property.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays judgment against defendants SAXON and QUALITY and each of them, as follows:

///

28

For an order compelling said Defendants and each of them, to transfer legal title and possession of the subject property to Plaintiff herein;

For a declaration and determination that Plaintiff is the rightful holder of title to the property and that Defendants\_ herein, and each of them, be declared to have no estate, right, title or interest in said property;

For a judgment forever enjoining said defendants, and each of them, from claiming any estate, right, title or interest in the subject property;

For costs of suit herein incurred

Dated: 11/ 2008

Respectfully Submitted

Respectfully Submitted

NEGER HEKMAT ALAMI Negar Hekmat Reami

Plaintiff in Proper

SHAHROKH ALAMI

Plaintiff in Proper

### ‡

### PETITION FOR REMOVAL JURISDICTION AND VENUE OF ACTIONS

28 U.S.C. 1441(a)

Plaintiff hereby petition the Court for removal of all cases of action currently pending in the Superior Court of California, in and for the County of Contra Costa, more, specifically, the Matter of GUO LAING YANG v. NEGER HEKMAT ALAWI, case # WS 08-0017 to the United States District Court, Central District Of California, consistent with 28 U.S.C. 1441(a).

Under 28 U.S.C. □ 1441(a), a party in a state court civil action may remove the action to Federal District Court if the Federal District Court has original jurisdiction over the action. In other words, removal is reserved for those cases "that originally could have been filed in Federal District Court.

In the instant case, where diversity of citizenship exists between the parties, the proper venue for this matter is in the Federal District Court.

Furthermore, The Federal District Court has jurisdiction because, For the purpose of any action which may be brought within the United States, its Territories or possessions, or the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico by or against the Bank in accordance with the agreement, the Bank shall be deemed to be an inhabitant of the Federal judicial district in which its principal office in the United States is located, and any such action at law or in equity to which the Bank shall be a party shall be

deemed to arise under the laws of the United States, and the district courts of the United States shall have original jurisdiction of any such action. When the Bank is a defendant in any such action, it may, at any time before the trial thereof, remove such action from a State court into the district court of the United States for the proper district by following the procedure for removal of causes otherwise provided by law.

In the instant matter, Saxon and Quality are acting as banks, as such, the acts complained of herein against these defendants, is based primarily on there conducts as banks, thus, the Federal District Court is the proper venue for Plaintiff's complaint.

Dated:	 	 	

Respectfully Submitted

Respectfully Submitted

NEGER HEKMAT ALAMI Negar Hekmat Alam

Plaintiff in Proper

SHAHROKH ALAMI

Plaintiff in Proper

Filed,02/11/2008

Page 1 ph 1

S JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) (cand rev 1-08)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS ALAMI) SHA	NEGER HROKH	HEKM ALAMI	AT	DEFENDANTS Suffered Cotto, Soft	in more gas	e, a capity to				
Contra	of First Listed Plaintiff PT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	,	५८५		N U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES IDEMNATION CASES, USE TI					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI		ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only)  prif DEF  PTF DEF							
1 U.S. Government   3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				Citizen of This State 1 1   Corporated or Principal Place 4   4   4   4   5   6   6   6   6   6   6   6   6   6						
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship o	Parties in Item III)	Ci	itizen or Subject of a 3 Foreign Country	of Business In An	nother State				
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only	<i>(</i> )								
CONTRACT		RTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES				
110 Insurance		PERSONAL IN	IIDV							
120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY			610 Agriculture	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment				
130 Miller Act	310 Airplane	362 Personal Inju		620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking				
140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpri		of Property 21 USC 881		450 Commerce				
150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	365 Personal Inju		630 Liquor Laws		430 Commerce				
	320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liab			PROPERTY RIGHTS					
& Enforcement of Judgment		368 Asbestos Per		640 R.R. & Truck	820 Copyrights	470 Racketeer Influenced and				
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Injury Produ	ct	650 Airline Regs.	830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations				
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability		660 Occupational	840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit				
Student Loans	340 Marine	PERSONAL PRO	PERTY	Safety/Health	040 Frademark	490 Cable/Sat TV				
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud		690 Other		810 Selective Service				
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	371 Truth in Len	dina	7 4 POP	COCKAT GEOMBERN	850 Securities/Commodities/				
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Person		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	Exchange				
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Dai		710 Fair Labor Standards	861 HIA (1395ff)	■ 875 Customer Challenge				
190 Other Contract	Product Liability			Act	862 Black Lung (923)	12 USC 3410				
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal Injury	385 Property Da		720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions				
196 Franchise		Product Liab	uity	730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	864 SSID Title XVI	891 Agricultural Acts				
DE LE DECREMENT	CHIEF PICHES	PRISONE	R	& Disclosure Act	865 RSI (405(g))	892 Economic Stabilization Act				
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PETITION		740 Railway Labor Act		893 Environmental Matters				
210 Land Condemnation	441 Voting	510 Motions to \		790 Other Labor Litigation		■ 894 Energy Allocation Act				
220 Foreclosure	442 Employment	Sentence	acace	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.		895 Freedom of Information				
30 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus		Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act				
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	530 General	٠.	,	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	900Appeal of Fee				
Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare	535 Death Penal	·v		or Defendant)	Determination				
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus &		IMMICRATION	871 IRS—Third Party	Under Equal Access				
250 All Ould Real Hoperty	Employment	550 Civil Rights	Coulci	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	to Justice				
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -		ition	462 Naturalization Application	1	950 Constitutionality of				
	Other			463 Habeas Corpus -		State Statutes				
	440 Other Civil Rights			Alien Detainee						
		İ		465 Other Immigration Actions						
	A			TW(DIB						
V. ORIGIN (Place of "X	(" in One Box Only)			Transferred fro	om.	Appeal to District				
	oved from 3 Rema	nded from	4 Reinst			☐ 7 Judge from				
		_				Magistrate				
Proceeding State	Court Appe	llate Court	Reope	ened (specify)	Litigation	ū				
						Judgment				
	Cite the U.S. Civil Si	atute under which	you are i	filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	nal statutes unless diversit	y):				
		2 %	U	.50. 144	( / <b>a</b> /	_				
VI CAUSE OF ACTION										
Brief description of cause:										
	Wr		7-1	- Commen	, - your	mo rough				
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACT	ION V	DEMAND S	CHECK VES	S only if demanded in complaint:				
COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: Yes No										
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)  PLEASE REFER TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 CONCERNING REQUIREMENT TO FILE										
IF ANY		ELATED CASE".		4						
				<u> </u>						
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGN	MENT (CIVIL L.R. 3-	2)								
(PLACE AND "X" IN ON			ISAN	FRANCISCO/OAKLANI	D □ SAN JOSE					
<u> </u>	DOA OHLL)				3AI 103E					
DATE 2 // 2 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF REGORD										
2,1/108		ala		Al sho						